11 - PSIA - Appendix to 2015 Annual Report - DOC

Wednesday, January 06, 2016 3:12 PM

Prison Population		2010			2011			2012			2013			2014			2015			
Prison Population	M	F	Total	М	F	Total	M	F	Total	м	F	Total	M	F	Total	М	F	Total		
nd of FY population	3079	387	3466	3039	416	3455	3222	419	3641	3158	418	3576	3195	429	3624	3163	394	3557		End of fiscal year
violent	1369	77	1446	1417	76	1493	1455	80	1535	1365	67	1432	1385	68	1453	1400	72	1472	\neg	inmates counts we
% violent	44%	20%	42%	4796	18%	43%	45%	19%	42%	43%	16%	40%	43%	16%	40%	44%	18%	41%		down FY14 to FY1
non violent	1688	306	1994	1600	338	1938	1741	337	2078	1789	348	2137	1806	361	2167	1757	321	2078	-	
% non violent	55%	79%	58%	53%	81%	56%	54%	80%	57%	57%	83%	60%	57%	84%	60%	56%	81%	58%		
he violent and non-violent totals do not equal the End of FY Popula	ation du	e to exc	dusions o	f FEDS, a	nd inclu	sions of	Other in	nmates	in SD, ar	nd SIS/S	ES in 20	13 and 2	2014							
FY Admissions		2010			2011			2012			2013			2014			2015			
	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	М	F	Total	M	F	Total	М	F	Total		
otal Admissions	2924	426	3350	2798	449	3247	2879	419	3298	2666	412	3078	2507	416	2923	2639	468	3107		
total court commitments	1030	224	1254	1024	255	1279	956	226	1182	943	192	1135	898	196	1094	873	196	1069	_	Court
% admits court commits	35%	53%	37%	37%	57%	39%	33%	54%	36%	35%	47%	37%	36%	47%	37%	33%	42%	34%		commitments
# new committments													583	88	671	561	82	643		were down FY:
% new commitments													23%	21%	23%	21%	18%	21%		to FY14 to FY1
# probation violators (Probation Violator Only and with New) % admits probation violators													315 13%	108 26%	423 14%	312 12%	114 24%	426 14%		
# admits as parole violators (PV/SSV Only and with New)	703	110	813	560	86	646	751	118	869	637	133	770	579	116	695	416	97	513		Parole violation
% admits parole violators (PV/33V Only and With New)	24%	26%	24%	20%	19%	20%	26%	28%	26%	24%	32%	25%	23%	28%	24%	16%	21%	17%	_	were down FY13
# new commits with violent offense	197	17	214	192	16	208	188	13	201	199	11	210	178	7	185	168	16	184		FY14 to FY15.
% of new commits with violent offense	19%	796	1796	1896	6%	16%	19%	6%	1796	23%	6%	20%	31%	896	28%	30%	20%	29%		
# new commits with nonviolent offense	845	210	1055	846	241	1087	779	214	993	656	162	818	404	80	484	387	65	452		
% of new commits with nonviolent offense	81%	93%	83%	82%	94%	84%	81%	94%	83%	77%	94%	80%	69%	92%	72%	70%	80%	7455		
probation admits with violent offense													34	2	36	34	4	38		Number and perc
		1						(-01	=0/		-01	-0/		of female admissi
probation admits with violent offense													11%	2%	8%	10%	3%	9%		for non-violent
probation admits with non violent offense				\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow				\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	281	112	393	290	115	405		offenses were do FY14 to FY15.
6 probation admits with non violent offense													89%	98%	92%	90%	97%	91%	L	F11410 F113.
n 2014, the new commitments and probation violators were separa	ited.																			
EV.B. I		2010			2011			2012			2013		2014			2015				
FY Releases	M	F	Total	М	F	Total	M	F	Total	М	F	Total	M	F	Total	М	F	Total		
otal Releases	2895	454	3349	2864	416	3280	2693	417	3110	2749	414	3163	2493	408	2901	2687	499	3186		
# Discharges (Expiration and Death)	305	50	355	318	58	376	317	59	376	371	45	416	311	40	351	307	25	332		
% Discharges	1196	11%	11%	1196	14%	11%	12%	14%	1296	13%	11%	13%	12%	10%	12%	11%	5%	10%		
# Releases to parole (Parole/SS)	1394	305	1699	1320	252	1572	1228	282	1510	1223	276	1499	1260	282	1542	939	273	1212		
% Releases to parole	48%	67%	51%	46%	61%	48%	46%	68%	49%	44%	67%	47%	51%	69%	53%	35%	55%	38%		
D - D -+!		2010			2011			2012			2013			2014			2015			
Parole Population		Total			Total			Total			Total			Total			Total			
nd of FY population		2787			2884			2800			2910			2630			2627			
SD in state		2322			2392			2348			2413			2189			2215			
SD Compact		395			407			375			421			362			333			
Fotal SD inmates (used for EDC eligilbity)		2717			2799			2723			2834			2551			2548		Г	Barrela A.
Other state inmates		70			85			77			76			79			79			Parole Agent
Caseload population (in state + other state inmates)		2392			2477			2425			2489			2268			2294		/	caseloads remai down.
verage parole agent caseload as of end of FY		65			67			69			68			58			56			GOWII.
		1595			1342			1382			1402			1719			1455		_	
eleases from parole in FY					696			513			632			1024			942		Г	There is continue
		782		l	020		00000000													
# discharges from parole		782 49%			52%			37%			45%			60%			65%	-		progress on
eleases from parole in FY # discharges from parole % releases from parole discharges # technical violators only and new sentence														60% 695			65% 513		\dashv	

Damanton and of Commentions	Goal	P	erformance G	pal
Department of Corrections	Number	2015	2016	2017
ibal-Parole Pilot Project			Projected	Projected
Percent of offenders who successfully complete parole (2014 baseline - 50%)	#2	Proj 52% Actual - 89%	75%	75%
role Services Evidence-Based Practices				
Percent of parolee contacts that meet or exceed contact standards for assigned supervision level (2014 baseline is 83%)	#1, #2, #3	Proj 90% Actual - 98%	98%	98%
rned Discharge Credits				
Parolee end of year count. (2013 baseline 2834)	#1, #2, #3	Proj 2602 Actual - 2548	2557	2566
aduated Sanctions Parole				
Percent of parolees sanctioned with a return to prison (2014 baseline 15.5%)	#1, #2, #3	Proj 14.7% Actual -12.7%	12.70%	12.70%
D		Performance Goal		
Department of Social Services	Goal Number		Circuit Court	
minal Thinking Programs				
Develop criminal thinking services across SD for justice involved populations according to estimates provided by UJS	#1	District 1=47 District 2=86	District 3=66 District 4=70	District 5=6 District 6=3 District 7=13
bstance Abuse				
Develop accessible evidence-based substance abuse services for justice involved populations according to	#1	District 1=47 District 2=86	District 3=66 District 4=70	District 5=67 District 6=39 District 7=135
estimated numbers from UJS.				
estimated numbers from UJS. Iral Pilot Program Develop accessible evidence-based substance abuse services tailored to rural SD for justice involved populations through two rural pilot programs.	#1	Provide substar in rural areas b		tment services
Develop accessible evidence-based substance abuse services tailored to rural SD for justice involved populations through two rural pilot programs.		in rural areas b		
Develop accessible evidence-based substance abuse services tailored to rural SD for justice involved	#1 Goal Number	in rural areas b	ased on need.	
Develop accessible evidence-based substance abuse services tailored to rural SD for justice involved populations through two rural pilot programs.		in rural areas b	ased on need. erformance Go	oal

resumptiv	e Probation 1. Serve Offenders in the community with Expanded Treatment Options. 2.		Cii	rcuit Court Dist	rict
	<u> </u>	Goal Number		erformance Go	
	Identify Veteran Population in the Criminal Justice System Identify 100% of Veterans pleading guilty to a Class 1 Misdemeanor or Felony.	#1	3 Clients	5 Clients	10 Clients
/eterans	Percent of LSI-Rs Administered to Felony Offenders	#1, #2, #3	100%	100%	100%
	Number and percent of CSOs receiving annual training on evidence-based practices.	#1, #2, #3	100%	100%	100%
	Utilize Resources and Manage Offenders Based on Evidence Supported Practices; Focused Resources on High-Risk/High-Needs population; Percent of Probation contacts that meet or exceed standards for assigned supervision level.	#1, #2, #3	90%	95%	100%

DOC Performance Measures

Sentencing- For crimes with date of offense on or after 7/1/2013; limited to class 5 and 6 felonies, except DWI 6 (4N)-Admission and Release numbers are based on the most serious crime. Average length of stay is based on a single crime to the first release and excludes suspended imposition/suspended executions (SIS/SES).

	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
hird-degree burglary prison sentences 22-32-8				
New Admissions	12	15		
Probation Violators (new & w/new)	1	4		
Average length of sentence	47 months	53 months		
Releases	1	9		
Average length of stay	6 months	7 months		
Distribution of controlled substances prison sentences 22-42-3 8	22-42-4 (Exclu	iding Minor)		
New Admissions	1	2		

Probation Violators (new & w/new)	0	1	Ι	·
	24 months	52 months		
Average length of sentence Releases	24 months	32 monus		
	3 months	3 months		
Average length of stay Grand theft prison sentences 22-30A-17 & Class 5 or 6	3 months	3 monus		
New Admissions	4	10		
Probation Violators (new & w/new)	0	10 7		
		•		
Average length of sentence	42 months	29 months		
Releases	NA NA	5		
Average length of stay	NA	5 months		
Possession of controlled substances prison sentences 22-42-5	1			
New Admissions	29	59		
Probation Violators (new & w/new)	7	45		
Average length of sentence	50 months	48 months		
Releases	9	44		
Average length of stay	4 months	6 months		
ngestion prison sentences 22-42-5.1				
New Admissions	18	33		
Probation Violators (new & w/new)	4	42		
Average length of sentence	45 months	46 months		
Releases (New and Probation Violations)	NA	30		
Average length of stay	NA	8 months		
class 5 & 6 felonies without presumption of probation 22-6-11				
New Admissions	32	94		
Probation Violators (new & w/new)	14	49		
Average length of sentence	32 months	38 months		
Releases (New and Probation Violations)	15	85		
Average length of stay	3 months	6 months		
Class 5 & 6 felonies with presumption of probation 22-6-11 (Exc	ludes those crin	nes listed indiv	idually above)	
New Admissions	103	43		
Probation Violators (new & w/new)	27	26		
Average length of sentence	37 months	30 months		
Releases (New and Probation Violations)	30	41		
Average length of stay	3 months	7 months		
OUI 6th w/n 25 years prison sentences 32-23-4.9 (4N)				
New Admissions	12	19		
Probation Violators (new & w/new)	0	1		
Average length of sentence	89 months	79 months		
Releases (New and Probation Violations)	NA	5		
Average length of stay	NA	11 months		
Fribal Parole Pilot				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of pilot programs established	1 1 2 0 1 4	1	112010	112017
Number of offenders on pilot caseload during reporting period	No Data Available (NDA)	61		
Compliance/Revocation Rates			L	I

Number without a policy-driven response	NDA	34		
Percentage without a policy-driven response	NDA	55.7%		
Number of parolees sanctioned in community	NDA	25		
Percentage of parolees sanctioned in community	NDA	41.0%		
Number of parolees with a violation/revocation report	NDA	2		
Percentage of parolees with a violation/revocation report	NDA	3%		
Absconding Information				
Number without an absconder incident	NDA	59		
Percentage without an absconder incident	NDA	96.7%		
Number of offenders discharged from the Tribal Pilot	NDA	19		
Number of offenders discharged from supervision (Term Expires)	NDA	17		
Percentage of offenders discharged from supervision	NDA	89%		
Number of offenders returning to prison as a technical violator or violator with a new sentence	NDA	1		
Percentage of offenders returning to prison as a technical violator or violator with a new sentence	NDA	5%		
Number of offenders returning to prison for a new conviction but no violation	NDA	1		
Percentage of offenders returning to prison for a new conviction but no violation	NDA	5%		
Parole Evidence Based Practices				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of risk and needs assessments (RNA) completed	8596	8468		
Number of parolees assessed with RNA tool	3814	3608		
Percentage of parolees assessed with RNA tool	99.5%	99.8%		
Percentage of parolees in the community assigned to each upervision level	6/30/14	6/30/15	6/30/16	6/30/17
Intensive	11%	13%		
Maximum	23%	26%		
Medium	34%	32%		
Minimum	11%	11%		
Indirect	21%	19%		
)	06/30/2014	06/30/2015	06/30/2016	06/30/2017
Percentage of parolees whose contacts were consistent with contact standards for assigned supervision level	83%	98%		

	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of parolees with risk reduction at discharge	444	360		
Percentage of parolees with risk reduction at discharge	62%	47%		
Graduated Sanctions - Parole				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number without a policy-driven response	2339	2180		
Percentage without a policy-driven response	61.0%	60.3%		
Number of parolees sanctioned in community	882	978		
Percentage of parolees sanctioned in community	23.0%	27.0%		
Number of parolees with a violation/revocation report	614	459		
Percentage of parolees with a violation/revocation report	16.0%	12.7%		
DUI 6th (Aggravated)				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of parolees on supervision for DUI 6th	0	5		
Compliance/Revocation Rates				
Number without a policy-driven response	0	5		
Percentage without a policy-driven response	0	100%		
Number of parolees sanctioned in community	0	0		
Percentage of parolees sanctioned in community	0	О		
Number of parolees with a violation/revocation report	0	0		
Percentage of parolees with a violation/revocation report	0	0		
Earned Discharge Credits - Parole				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of parolees eligible for credits	3495	3356		
Percentage of parolees eligible for credits	85.6%	86.5%		
Number of eligible parolees awarded credits	3203	3010		
Percentage of eligible parolees awarded credits	91.6%	89.7%		
Percentage of parolees awarded credits overall	78.4%	77.6%		

Average amount of credits earned	236.8	232.9		
Parole EBP Training				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of parole agents trained annually in EBP	40	44		
Percentage of parole agents trained annually	100%	100%		
Number of training hours completed for EBP topics	1,399	1,003		
Number of parole board members trained annually in EBP	8	10		
Percentage of parole board members trained annually in EBP	100%	100%		
lumber of new board members trained within 60 days	2	2		
Percentage of new board members trained within 60 days	100%	100%		
UJS Performan	ce Measu	ıres		
Drug Court				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of Drug Courts	4	5		
lumber of clients at start of reporting period	46	76		
Number of clients added	65	104		
lumber of clients terminated	22	23		
Number of clients graduated	17	30		
Retention rate	81.25%	78.67%		
Graduation rate	46.15%	50.52%		
High	93	190		
Medium	14	19		
Low	0	0		
mployment rate (part and full time) of participants	58%	57%		
OUI Court				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
lumber of DUI Courts	4	4		
Number of clients at start of reporting period	22	46		
Number of clients added	25	66		
Number of clients terminated	5	9		
lumber of clients graduated	11	19		
Retention rate	86.89%	86.82%		
Graduation rate	52.94%	62.22%		
Risk Assessment Levels (of participants)				
High	46	105		
Medium	7	12		
Low	1	3		

mployment rate (part and full time) of participants	69%	71%		
<u>/eterans</u>				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
lumber of veterans identified	1423	2324		
lumber of veterans on probation	369	444		
Jumber of veterans in Vet Court	0	3		
arned Discharge Credits- Probation				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
lumber of probationers eligible for credits	4858	6727		
ercentage of probationers eligible for credits	97.84%	99.00%		
lumber of eligible probationers awarded credits	3902	5206		
ercentage of eligible probationers awarded credits	78.59%	76.62%		
ercentage of probationers awarded credits overall	69.46%	72.94%		
verage amount of credits earned	122.6	156.6		
Graduated Sanctions- Probation				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
lumber of probationers receiving 1-2 sanctions	632	1390		
lumber of probationers receiving 3+ sanctions	183	420		
lumber of probationers sanctioned to jail	318	644		
lumber of days served in jail as a sanction	699	1377		
Preliminary Hearings				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
lumber of Class 1 Misdemeanors	21522	22014		
lumber of Cl. 1 Misds. With Prelim. Hrg	98	35		
ercentage of Cl. 1 Misds. With Prelim. Hrg	0.45%	0.15%		
lumber of Cl. 1 Misds. With Conviction	11560	9957		
ercentage of Cl. Misds. With Conviction	53.70%	45%		
Jumber of Cl. 1 Misds. With Trial	87	48		
ercentage of Cl. 1 Misds. With Trial	0.40%	0.21%		
Presumptive Probation— Exclusive to class 5 and 6 felonic	es included in presum	ptive probation		
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
lumber of Cl. 5 and 6 felony convictions	1734	3109		
lumber of Cl. 5 and 6 felony sentences to prison	495	531		
ercentage of Cl. 5 and 6 felony sentences to prison	29%	17%		
lumber of Cl. 5 and 6 felony sentences to probation	1184	2148		
ercentage of Cl. 5 and 6 felony sentences to probation	68%	69%		
lumber of Cl. 5 and 6 felony revocations to prison	158	83		
ercentage of CI 5 and 6 felony revocations to prison	13%	3.8%		

	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of PSI conducted for felony cases	2245	2284		
Number of LSI-R assessments on felony cases	3376	4395		
raining hours for CSOs on EBP	139.5	91.5		
raining hours for judges on EBP	21	18		
HOPE Pilots				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of pilot courts	1	4		
Number of participants	9	59		
Number of jail days served as sanctions	16	47		
Number of missed appointments with CSOs	1	4		
Number of positive UA's	43	55		
Number of successful completions	1	10		
Number of terminations	1	0		
Aggravated DUI				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of convictions	38	86		
Number sentenced to prison	16	30		
Percentage sentenced to prison	42%	35%		
Number sentenced to probation	15	44		
Percentage sentenced to probation	39%	52%		
DSS Performan	ce Meası	ıres		
Rural Pilot Substance Abuse Treatment Servi	ces *inclu	ides aftercare		
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of new clients who started substance abuse treatment ervices through the Rural Pilot	0	22		
Number of clients who successfully completed substance abuse ervices through the Rural Pilot	0	6		
Substance Abuse Treatment Services *includ	es aftercare			
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of new clients who started substance abuse treatment ervices	108	732		
Number of clients who successfully completed substance abuse reatment services	0	302		
Criminal Thinking Services				
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017
Number of new clients who started criminal thinking services	103	408		
Number of clients who completed criminal thinking services	3	86		

DOC and UJS Performance Measures							
Reinvestment Fund							
	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017			
Number of probationers	2013: 5,011 2014: 5,414 2015 (projected): 5,463	5918					
Number of counties receiving reimbursement	35	29					
otal amount of compensation (see attached for amount ransferred back to each county)	\$314,600	\$533,000					
elony disposition rates to probation by County	See attachment	See attachment					



South Dakota Unified Judicial System



SB70 – Probation/Pen Sentencing Report Adult Felony Cases Only 7/1/2014–6/30/2015

Circuit 1					
County	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Totals
	Probation	to Probation	Penitentiary	to	
				Penitentiary	
Aurora	5	50%	5	50%	10
Bon Homme	0	0	7	100%	7
Brule	19	79.17%	5	20.83%	24
Buffalo	1	50%	1	50%	2
Charles Mix	30	73.17%	11	26.83%	41
Clay	24	55.81%	19	44.19%	43
Davison	81	75%	27	25%	108
Douglas	2	100%	0	0	2
Hanson	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	6
Hutchinson	8	66.67%	4	33.33%	12
McCook	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	7
Turner	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	9
Union	39	69.64%	17	30.36%	56
Yankton	56	61.54%	35	38.46%	91
Circuit 2					
County	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Total
	Probation	to Probation	Penitentiary	to	
				Penitentiary	
Lincoln	87	71.90%	34	28.10%	121
Minnehaha	818	76.31%	254	23.69%	1072
Circuit 3					
County	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Totals
	Probation	to Probation	Penitentiary	to	
				Penitentiary	
Beadle	26	42.62%	35	57.38%	61
Brookings	60	57.69%	44	42.31%	104
Clark	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	6
Codington	117	75.48%	38	24.52%	155
Deuel	1	20%	4	80%	5
Grant	11	73.33%	4	26.67%	15
Hamlin	9	100%	0	0	9

Hand	Hand 1		0	0	1
Jerauld	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	9
Kingsbury	2	40%	3	60%	5
Lake	28	77.78%	8	22.22%	36
Miner	5	83.33%	1	16.67%	6
Moody	4	40%	6	60%	10
Sanborn	0	0	2	100%	2
Circuit 4					
County	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Totals
-	Probation	to Probation	Penitentiary	to	
			_	Penitentiary	
Butte	6	42.86%	8	57.14%	14
Corson	3	50%	3	50%	6
Dewey	1	20%	4	80%	5
Harding	3	75%	1	25%	4
Lawrence	65	50%	65	50%	130
Meade	88	70.40%	37	29.6%	125
Perkins	2	40%	3	60%	5
Ziebach	0	0	1	100%	1
Circuit 5		_	_		_
County	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Totals
	Probation	to Probation	Penitentiary	to	
			,	Penitentiary	
Brown	85	58.62%	60	41.38%	145
Campbell	1	100%	0	0	1
Day	12	57.14%	9	42.86%	21
Edmunds	6	85.71%	1	14.29%	7
Faulk		-	-	-	-
Marshall	4	40%	6	60%	10
McPherson	2	100%	0	0	2
Roberts	15	27.27%	40	72.73%	55
Spink	3	60%	2	40%	5
Walworth	11	73.33%	4	26,67%	15
Circuit 6					
County	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Totals
•					
	Probation	to Probation	Penitentiary	to	
	Probation	to Probation		to Penitentiary	
Bennett	Probation 10	to Probation		""	23
			Penitentiary	Penitentiary	23
Bennett Gregory Haakon	10	43.48%	Penitentiary 13	Penitentiary 56.52%	
Gregory Haakon	10 2	43.48% 66.67%	Penitentiary 13 1	Penitentiary 56.52% 33.33%	3
Gregory Haakon Hughes	10 2 1	43.48% 66.67% 100%	Penitentiary 13 1 0	Penitentiary 56.52% 33.33% 0	3
Gregory Haakon Hughes Hyde	10 2 1 105	43.48% 66.67% 100% 65.63%	13 1 0 55	Penitentiary 56.52% 33.33% 0 34.38%	3 1 160 3
Gregory Haakon Hughes	10 2 1 105 1 3	43.48% 66.67% 100% 65.63% 33.33% 42.86%	13 1 0 55 2	Penitentiary 56.52% 33.33% 0 34.38% 66.67% 57.14%	3 1 160
Gregory Haakon Hughes Hyde Jackson	10 2 1 105	43.48% 66.67% 100% 65.63% 33.33%	13 1 0 55 2	Penitentiary 56.52% 33.33% 0 34.38% 66.67%	3 1 160 3 7

Appendix 3

Potter	3	60%	2	40%	5			
Stanley	17	89.47%	2	10.53%	19			
Sully	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	3			
Todd	0	0	1	100%	1			
Tripp	10	47.62%	11	52.38%	21			
Circuit 7								
County	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Sentenced to	% Sentenced	Totals			
	Probation	to Probation	Penitentiary	to				
				Penitentiary				
Custer	19	79.17%	5	20.83%	24			
Fall River	Fall River 20		8	28.57%	28			
Pennington	381	60.96%	244	39.04%	625			

FY15 Reinvestment Fund Payments

					115 Kemvestii						
County	FY15 Projected	FY15 Actual	Actual Minus Predicted	Rate	FY2015 Compensation Amount	County	FY15 Projected	FY15 Actual	Actual Minus Predicted	Rate	FY2015 Compensation Amount
Aurora	4	11	7	\$1,200	\$8,400	Hyde	1	2	1	\$1,200	\$1,200
Beadle	116	63	-53	\$1,000	\$0	Jackson	16	23	7	\$1,200	\$8,400
Bennett	23	44	21	\$1,000	\$21,000	Jerauld	8	9	1	\$1,000	\$1,000
Bon Homme		5	-7	\$1,000	\$0	Jones	5	7	2	\$1,200	\$2,400
Brookings	174	202	28	\$1,000	\$28,000	Kingsbury	10	6	-4	\$1,200	\$0
Brown	334	255	-79	\$1,000	\$0	Lake	40	58	18	\$1,000	\$18,000
Brule	39	39	0	\$1,000	\$0	Lawrence	161	155	-6	\$1,000	\$0
Buffalo	1	2	1	\$1,200	\$1,200	Lincoln	90	121	31	\$1,200	\$37,200
Butte	92	99	7	\$1,200	\$8,400	Lyman	20	20	0	\$1,200	\$0
Campbell	4	2	-2	\$1,200	\$0	Marshall	7	4	-3	\$1,000	\$0
Charles Mix	90	79	-11	\$1,000		McCook	13	13	0	\$1,200	\$0
Clark	15	12	-3	\$1,200		McPherson	1	5	4	\$1,200	\$4,800
Clay	109	49	-60	\$1,000	\$0	Meade	229	266	37	\$1,000	\$37,000
Codington	214	203	-11	\$1,000	\$0	Mellette	9	9	0	\$1,000	\$0
Corson	5	8	3	\$1,000	\$3,000	Miner	1	5	4	\$1,200	\$4,800
Custer	35	52	17	\$1,200	\$20,400	Minnehaha	1554	1388	-166	\$1,000	\$0
Davison	199	164	-35	\$1,000		Moody	19	16	-3	\$1,200	\$0
Day	18	19	1	\$1,000	\$1,000	Oglala Lakota	2	10	8	\$1,200	\$9,600
Deuel	10	2	-8	\$1,200		Pennington	1217	1378	161	\$1,000	\$161,000
Dewey	10	17	7	\$1,000	\$7,000	Perkins	28	14	-14	\$1,000	\$0
Douglas	6	4	-2	\$1,200	\$0	Potter	5	4	-1	\$1,200	\$0
Edmunds	13	19	6	\$1,000	\$6,000	Roberts	128	144	16	\$1,000	\$16,000
Fall River	62	54	-8	\$1,000	4 -	Sanborn	2	3	1	\$1,200	\$1,200
Faulk	4	4	0	\$1,000		Spink	24	23	-1	\$1,200	\$0
Grant	12	13	1	\$1,000	\$1,000	Stanley	18	36	18	\$1,200	\$21,600
Gregory	10	8	-2	\$1,200		Sully	7	5	-2	\$1,200	\$0
Haakon	8	7	-1	\$1,200		Todd	12	3	-9	\$1,200	\$0
Hamlin	3	10	7	\$1,200	\$8,400		26	21	-5	\$1,000	\$0
Hand	2	2	0	\$1,200		Turner	26	19	-7	\$1,000	\$0
Hanson	0	3	3	\$1,200	\$3,600		127	112	-15	\$1,000	\$0
Harding	4	6	2	\$1,200		Walworth	59	42	-17	\$1,000	\$0
Hughes	162	159	-3	\$1,000	\$0	Yankton	282	371	89	\$1,000	\$89,000
TT . 1 *	4.0	7	111	64 000	φ.	7.1 1	1	2	4	M1 000	Φ0